

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/479,999	01/10/2000	LEE EVEN NAKAMURA	A7631/ST9-97	3788
7590 06/22/2005			EXAMINER ·	
00011011	ION ZINN MACPEAI	HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
	LVANIA AVENUE N W N. DC 200373202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/479,999	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rinication. It days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON rill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered to the street of the street to th	nis com
Status			
	d on <u>02 July 2004</u> .		

The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the corresponde	ence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum standard to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. sto) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and we will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timely filed utory minimum of thirty (30) days will be conside ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date lication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 02 July 2004.					
	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, prosecution a	s to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Qι</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 21	3.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12 and 27-31</u> is/are pend	ling in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		·				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12 and 27-31</u> is/are reject	eted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election r	equirement.				
Application Papers						
	, - Fyaninan					
9) The specification is objected to by th		□ objected to by the Everyiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			95/a)			
Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	= : : - :	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received in Application No.	 •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certi	fied copies not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	°TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Applicat 6) Other:	tion (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	ry Part of Paper No	o./Mail Date 06092005			

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: response filed 7/2/04 of application filed on 01/10/00 which is a continuation of the application 08/892,842 filed on 7/11/97, now US Pat No. 6,178,433 B1.

- 2. Claims 7-12, 27-31 are pending in the case. Claims 7, 11 and 27 are the independent claims.
- 3. The rejections of claims 7-12, 27-31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schumacher have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-12, 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schumacher et al. (US Pat No. 5,933,841, 8/3/99, filed 5/17/96) in view of Egilsson (US Pat No. 6,286,017 B1, 9/4/01, filed 8/1/96).

Regarding independent claim 7, Schumacher discloses:

- generating a page of presentation material in response to a request for an information, wherein the page is generated based on the first information layout and includes the first information and does not contain the second information (col 11, lines 11-27, 55-65, figures 2A, 9A, 10, 12-13: in response to a button

Art Unit: 2178

selecting, a selected section in a web document is displayed, and the display does not contain the information of other sections of the document)

Schumacher does not explicitly disclose:

- defining the first variable equal to the first information and the second variable equal to the second information
- defining, in a second portion of the file, the first and second presentation layout,
 wherein said first presentation layout include said first variable and said second
 presentation layout includes said second variable

Instead, Schumacher discloses that each button or selector on the user interface is configured to perform pre-defined operations so that when a user places the screen pointer over the selector then selects it with the selecting device, the system interface receives data indicating which selector is selected and determines the document section associated with the selector to display the selected section (col 7, lines 1-32). Schumacher further discloses that when selecting a selector, the selected section of the document (in figure 2A) is displayed, the other sections of the document is not displayed (figures 10, 12, 13: select the SALE button or the SALE link, the SALE section is displayed, not the other sections of the document).

Egilsson discloses defining a variable for each icon in a source code where the icons representing user selected variables within the program module specification (col 7, lines 25-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Egilsson into Schumacher since Egilsson teaches each

Art Unit: 2178

icon has an associated variable defined in a source code providing the advantage to incorporate into Schumacher for having each portion of data corresponding to each button or icon, and corresponding to each variable associated with each button or icon.

Regarding claims 8 and 10, which are dependent on claim 7, Schumacher discloses that said page is World Web page for displaying on the web browser and the request, which is actually a hyperlink, includes a uniform resource locator URL (figure 2A, col 12, lines 34-53, and col 13, lines 40-43: the page is an HTML page; figure 10: the request for a selected section is the SALE hyperlink).

Regarding claim 9, which are dependent on claim 7, Schumacher discloses that the web browser does not support a hypertext markup language frame tag (figures 10,12-13)

Claims 11-12, 27-31 are for a system and a computer-readable medium of method claims 7-10, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-12, 27-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue that Schumacher does not teach or suggest "the page is generated based on the first presentation layout and includes said first information and does not

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999

Art Unit: 2178

contain said second information" as recited in claim 7. The reason for that is using buttons for causing a particular section of a document to be displayed in a browser and for navigating through the sections of the document via an interface of the browser in Schumacher does not correspond to generating a page of presentation material in response to a request for first information (Remarks, pages 2-3).

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Displaying a section information in response to selecting a button where the browser displays only the requested information corresponding to the selected button and where the browser does not display any other information shows that the page for that selected information is generated for presentation in response to a request for that information.

Applicants argue that Schumacher fails to teach or suggest the use of a single file as in claim 7, which is directed to a method for managing Internet presentation materials in a single file format (Remarks, page 3).

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Schumacher discloses a single file format where only the requested portion of the file is presented to a requestor (figures 2A-B, 9B, 10, 13: a portion of the single file is presented to users upon selecting a corresponding button).

Applicants state that the Examiner acknowledges that Schumacher fails to disclose "defining, in a first portion of the file, a first variable equal to first information and a

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999

Art Unit: 2178

second variable equal to second information" and the Examiner's reason to modify
Schumacher to include the defining limitation is not correct since Schumacher in no way
relates to computer programming (Remarks, page 3).

Examiner agrees that Schumacher fails to disclose "defining, in a first portion of the file, a first variable equal to first information and a second variable equal to second information" explicitly.

However, Applicants' argument that Schumacher in no way relates to computer programming is not correct. Schumacher's method does relate to computer programming (Abstract, col 13, lines 40-43).

Egilsson shows defining a variable for each corresponding icon (col 7, lines 25-36, 53-59). Egilsson, thus, provides the advantage to incorporate into Schumacher icons to show that each button (or equivalent icon) is defined by a variable in the program code to represent a corresponding information.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gerlach, Jr. et al. (US Pat No. 6,484,189 B1, 11/19/02, filed 9/30/96, priority 6/7/95).

Berg et al. (US Pat No. 5,999,911, 12/7/99, filed 6/2/95).

Shimoji et al. (US Pat No. 6,757,911 B1, 6/29/04, filed 8/29/97).

Sang'udi et al. (US Pat App Pub No 2003/0030634 A1, 3/13/03, filed 7/22/02, priority 11/12/96).

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999 Page 7

Art Unit: 2178

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-4125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4125.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cong-Lac Huynh

Examiner

Art Unit 2178

06/14/05